## EXTRUSION OF 7075-O ALUMINUM AND AISI 4340 STEEL TUBING

Billet Surface Finish:

25-100  $\mu$ in.

Diameter of Mandrel:

0.7485 in. at top

0.7395 in. at bottom

Extrusion Pressure, 1000 psi Breakthrough Runout				Length of Extrusion.	
Stem	Fluid	Stem	Fluid	in.	Comments
Aluminu	m				
49	48	50	48	12-7/8	No P <sub>b</sub> peak; uniform P <sub>r</sub>
51	49	48	47	11	Very slight $P_b$ peak followed by uniform $P_r$
33	30	32	29	6-7/8	Slight $P_b$ peak followed by slight stick-slip
178	161			4	P <sub>b</sub> not reached; stopped at indicated pressu
4340					
162	151	160	149	12-3/4	Slight $P_b$ peak followed by uniform runout
240	209	232	202	5-1/2	Slight Pb peak followed by severe stick-sli
163	149			1-1/2	P <sub>b</sub> not reached; stopped at pressure indicat
164	149	146	129	4-3/4	Moderate $P_b$ peak followed by severe stick slip

Two extrusion ratios were attempted in re-extruding tubing--3.2:1 and 12.9:1. At the lower ratio (Trial 385), approximately 7 inches of 0.056-inch-thick-wall tubing with excellent surface finish was produced. The cumulative reduction (Trials 351 and 385) in producing the tubing is 91 percent.

In Trial 384, re-extrusion at a ratio of 12.9:1 was attempted. A short length of high-quality 0.22-inch-wall tubing was produced. Here, the cumulative area reduction (Trials 351 and 384) was 97.6 percent. While the fluid-pressure versus ram-travel curve for this trial did not indicate a sharply defined extrusion breakthrough, it did show that a significant displacement of the billet had occurred. Upon removal of the extrusion from the container, it was found that the billet had not only extruded, but had also uniformly upset from about 1.1 to 1.5 inches in diameter. Upsetting apparently occurred because of an additional end load on the billet resulting from the unsupported area of the floating mandrel (see Figure 1). This caused an end pressure on the billet that exceeded the fluid pressure by roughly the compressive flow strength of the billet material. Other factors such as mandrel friction would probably influence the end pressure at which billet upsetting would occur. It can be seen from Figure 1 that the billet-end pressure exceeds the fluid pressure, p, by

p Am

where

Am = the mandrel cross-sectional area

A = the billet cross-sectional area.

The influence of extrusion ratio on the extrusion runout pressure for 7075-0 aluminum tubing is shown in Figure 2. Two curves are shown. One gives the measured fluid pressure, and the other shows the billet-end pressure, which, in fact, is the "effective" extrusion pressure. Extrapolation of the fluid-pressure curve shown indicates that 7075-0 aluminum tubing can be produced with the present tooling at extrusion ratios up to about 100:1, provided (1) lubrication is adequate at the higher ratios or (2) the unbalanced pressure,  $\frac{p \text{ Am}}{A}$ , is not greater than about the compressive yield strength of the material.

## AISI 4340 STEEL

Table 3 lists the extrusion data obtained in the hydrostatic extrusion of tubing from AISI 4340 steel. In two trials, extrusion ratio and stem speed were investigated.

At an extrusion ratio of 3.8:1, 0.18-inch-thick wall tubing was produced at an exit speed of 140 ipm (Trial 389). At a higher extrusion ratio of 5.7:1 (Trial 391), 0.125-inch-wall tubing was produced at an exit speed of 63 ipm. Further trials will be made in this case, however, in an attempt to eliminate stick-slip during runout.